

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/817,577	THOSAR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
on record


Prior art documents discussed:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amendment to method claim 57 because the condition or disorder of claim 57 is very broad. Suggested limiting claim 57 to the specific methods of claims 58-61 and cancellation of claims 58-61. Discussed the support for the disintegration rates of claims 74-78, clarify that the particle size in claim 1 is 25 microns and to delete the limitation "substantially" in claims 73-78. Attorney authorized the examiner to make the changes discussed above by Examiner's amendment.